## Lecture 7

Multi-view geometry


- The SFM problem
- Affine SFM
- Perspective SFM
- Self-calibration
- Applications


## Reading:

[HZ] Chapter 10 " 3 D reconstruction of cameras and structure" Chapter 18 "N-view computational methods" Chapter 19 "Auto-calibration"
[FP] Chapter 13 "projective structure from motion"
[Szelisky] Chapter 7 "Structure from motion"

## Structure from motion problem



Given $m$ images of $n$ fixed 3D points

$$
\cdot \mathbf{x}_{i j}=\mathbf{M}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

## Structure from motion problem



From the $m \times n$ observations $\mathbf{x}_{i j}$, estimate:

- $m$ projection $m$


## Affine structure from motion

 (simpler problem)

From the $m \times n$ observations $x_{i j}$ estimate:

- m projection matrices $\mathbf{M}_{i}$ (affine cameras)
- $n$ 3D points $X_{j}$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{E}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{1} \mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{m}_{3} \mathbf{X}}, \frac{\mathbf{m}_{2} \mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{m}_{3} \mathbf{X}}\right)^{T}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Perspective } \\
\qquad \mathbf{x}=M \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{m}_{1} \\
\mathbf{m}_{2} \\
\mathbf{m}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{m}_{1} \mathbf{X} \\
\mathbf{m}_{2} \mathbf{X} \\
\mathbf{m}_{3} \mathbf{X}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array} \\
& \mathbf{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{v} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{m}_{1} \\
\mathbf{m}_{2} \\
\mathbf{m}_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine cameras



For the affine case (in Euclidean space)


## The Affine Structure-from-Motion Problem

Given $m$ images of $n$ fixed points $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ we can write

Problem: estimate $m$ matrices $A_{i}, m$ matrices $b_{i}$ and the $n$ positions $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from the $\mathbf{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ observations $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

How many equations and how many unknown?
$2 m \times n$ equations in $8 m+3 n-8$ unknowns

## The Affine Structure-from-Motion Problem

## Two approaches:

- Algebraic approach (affine epipolar geometry; estimate F; cameras; points)
- Factorization method


## The Affine Structure-from-Motion Problem

## Two approaches:

- Algebraic approach (affine epipolar geometry; estimate F; cameras; points)
- Factorization method


## A factorization method Tomasi \& Kanade algorithm

C. Tomasi and T. KanadeShape and motion from image streams under orthography: A factorization method. IJCV, 9(2):137-154, November 1992.

- Data centering
- Factorization


## A factorization method - Centering the data

Centering: subtract the centroid of the image points
[Eq. 6] $\quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}=\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i k} \quad \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}$


## A factorization method - Centering the data

Centering: subtract the centroid of the image points
[Eq. 6] $\quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}=\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i k}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{b}_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{k}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{b}_{i}$
$\mathbf{x}_{i k}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{k}+\mathbf{b}_{i}$
[Eq. 4]


## A factorization method - Centering the data

Centering: subtract the centroid of the image points
[Eq. 6] $\quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}=\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i k}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{b}_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{k}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{b}_{i}$
$\mathbf{x}_{i k}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{k}+\mathbf{b}_{i}$
[Eq. 4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\mathbf{A}_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}_{j}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n=1} \mathbf{X}_{k}\right) & =\mathbf{A}_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}_{j}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{A}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{k} \tag{Eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Centroid of 3D points

## A factorization method - Centering the data

Thus, after centering, each normalized observed point is related to the 3D point by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j} \tag{Eq.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{k} \tag{Eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Centroid of 3D points

## A factorization method - Centering the data

If the centroid of points in 3D = center of the world reference system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}=\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}  \tag{Eq.9}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{k}  \tag{Eq.7}\\
& \text { Centroid of 3D points }
\end{align*}
$$

## A factorization method - factorization

Let's create a $2 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{n}$ data (measurement) matrix:

$$
\left.\mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{11} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{12} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1 n} \\
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{21} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{22} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{2 n} \\
& & \ddots & \\
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m 1} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m 2} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m n}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { cameras } \\
(2 \mathrm{~m})
\end{gathered}
$$

points (n)

Each $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}$ entry is a $2 \times 1$ vector!

## A factorization method - factorization

Let's create a $2 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{n}$ data (measurement) matrix:

$$
\mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{11} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{12} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1 n} \\
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{21} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{22} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{2 n} \\
& & \ddots & \\
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m 1} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m 2} & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{A}_{1} \\
\mathbf{A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{cccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{llll} 
& & \\
\mathbf{X}_{1} & \mathbf{X}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_{n} \\
\text { points } & (3 \times n)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

Each $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i j}$ entry is a $2 \times 1$ vector!
$\mathbf{A}_{i}$ is $2 \times 3$ and $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ is $3 \times 1$
The measurement matrix $\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{M} \mathbf{S}$ has rank 3
(it's a product of a 2 mx 3 matrix and $3 \times n$ matrix)

## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix

How to factorize D?


## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix

- By computing the Singular value decomposition of $D$ !



## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix

Since rank (D)=3, there are only 3 non-zero singular values $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$


## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix



## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix



$$
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{U}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{\top}=\mathbf{U}_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\mathbf{M} \mathbf{S} \text { [Eq. 12] }
$$

## Factorizing the Measurement Matrix

$$
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{U}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{\top}=\mathbf{U}_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{\top}\right)=\mathbf{M} \mathbf{S}
$$

What is the issue here?
D has rank>3 because of:

- measurement noise
- affine approximation

Theorem: When $\mathbf{D}$ has a rank greater than $3, \mathbf{U}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{T}$ is the best possible rank- 3 approximation of $\mathbf{D}$ in the sense of the Frobenius norm.

$$
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{U}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{T} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{M} \approx \mathbf{U}_{3} \\
\mathbf{S} \approx \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{V}_{3}^{T}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\|A\|_{F}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\min \{m, n\}} \sigma_{i}^{2}}
$$

## Reconstruction results



120
C. Tomasi and T. Kanade. Shape and motion from image streams under orthography: A factorization method. IJCV, 9(2):137-154, November 1992.

## Affine Ambiguity



## Affine Ambiguity



- The decomposition is not unique. We get the same $\mathbf{D}$ by applying the transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{*}=\mathbf{M} \mathbf{H} \\
& S^{*}=H^{-1} \mathbf{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is an arbitrary $3 \times 3$ matrix describing an affine transformation

- Additional constraints must be enforced to resolve this ambiguity


## Affine Ambiguity



## The Affine Structure-from-Motion Problem

Given $m$ images of $n$ fixed points $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ we can write

Problem: estimate $m$ matrices $A_{i}$, m matrices $b_{i}$ and the $n$ positions $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from the $\mathbf{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ observations $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

How many equations and how many unknown?
$2 m \times n$ equations in $8 m+3 n-8$ unknowns

## Similarity Ambiguity

- The scene is determined by the images only up a similarity transformation (rotation, translation and scaling)
- This is called metric reconstruction

- The ambiguity exists even for (intrinsically) calibrated cameras
- For calibrated cameras, the similarity ambiguity is the only ambiguity


## Similarity Ambiguity

- It is impossible, based on the images alone, to estimate the absolute scale of the scene



## Lecture 7

Multi-view geometry


- The SFM problem
- Affine SFM
- Perspective SFM
- Self-calibration
- Applications


## Structure from motion problem



From the $m \times n$ observations $\mathbf{x}_{i j}$, estimate:

- m projection matrices $\mathbf{M}_{i}=$ motion
- $n$ 3D points $X_{j}=$ structure


## Structure from motion problem


$m$ cameras $\mathrm{M}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$$
M_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & b_{1} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & b_{2} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Structure from Motion Ambiguities



$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} & \left.\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
\mathrm{HX}, & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{H}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{HX} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## The Structure-from-Motion Problem

Given $m$ images of $n$ fixed points $X_{j}$ we can write

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~N} . \text { of cameras } \mathrm{f}}{\text { for } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \text { points }}
$$

Problem: estimate m $3 \times 4$ matrices $M_{i}$ and $n$ positions $X_{i}$ from $m \times n$ obvesrvations $X_{i j}$.

- If the cameras are not calibrated, cameras and points can only be recovered up to a $4 \times 4$ projective (where the $4 \times 4$ projective is defined up to scale)
- How many equations and how many unknown?
$2 m \times n$ equations in $11 m+3 n-15$ unknowns


## Projective Ambiguity



## Metric reconstruction (upgrade)

- The problem of recovering the metric reconstruction from the perspective one is called self-calibration



## Structure-from-Motion methods

1. Recovering structure and motion up to perspective ambiguity

- Algebraic approach (by fundamental matrix)
- Factorization method (by SVD)
- Bundle adjustment

2. Resolving the perspective ambiguity

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)

1. Compute the fundamental matrix F from two views
2. Use F to estimate projective cameras
3. Use these cameras to triangulate and estimate points in 3D

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1 j}=M_{1} X_{j} \\
& x_{2 j}=M_{2} X_{j} \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=1, \underset{\text { N. of points }}{1 . \sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From at least 8 point correspondences, compute $F$ associated to camera 1 and 2

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)

1. Compute the fundamental matrix F from two views (eg. 8 point algorithm)
2. Use F to estimate projective cameras
3. Use these cameras to triangulate and estimate points in 3D

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1 j}=M_{1} X_{j} \\
& x_{2 j}=M_{2} X_{j} \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=\frac{1, \ldots \cdot \mid}{\substack{n \\
\mathrm{~N} . \text { of points }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the projective ambiguity, we can always apply a projective transformation H such that:

$$
\underset{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Eq. 3] }
\end{array} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1}\right.}{\substack{\text { Canonical perspective } \\
\text { camera }}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I} & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)

- Call $\mathbf{X}$ a generic 3D point $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$
- Call $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ the corresponding observations to camera 1 and respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{b}=(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{b} \tag{Eq.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{x}^{\prime T} \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{b}\right)=\mathbf{x}^{\prime T} \cdot(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{b})=0$
[Eq. 9]
$\mathbf{x}^{\prime T}(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})=0 \quad[$ Eq. 10]

## Cross product as matrix multiplication

$$
\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -a_{z} & a_{y} \\
a_{z} & 0 & -a_{x} \\
-a_{y} & a_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{x} \\
b_{y} \\
b_{z}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\mathbf{a}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{b}
$$

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)

$\mathbf{x}^{\prime T}(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})=0 \quad[\mathrm{Eq} .10]$
$\mathbf{x}^{\prime T}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=0 \quad$ is this familiar? $\quad \mathbf{F}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A}$

## Compute cameras

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathbf{x}=0 \quad \mathbf{F}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{A} \quad[\mathrm{Eq} .11]
$$

## Compute b:

- Let's consider the product $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}$

$$
\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{b}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{b}=0[\mathrm{Eq} .12]
$$

- Since $\mathbf{F}$ is singular, we can compute $\mathbf{b}$ as least sq. solution of $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}=0$, with $|\mathbf{b}|=1$ using SVD
- Using a similar derivation, we have that $\mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{F}=0$ [Eq. 12-bis]


## Compute cameras

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathbf{x}=0 \quad \mathbf{F}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}=0[\mathrm{Eq} .12] \\
\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F}=0[\mathrm{Eq} .12 \text {-bis] }]
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Compute A:

- Define: $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}=-\left[\mathbf{b}_{x}\right] \mathbf{F}$
- Let's verify that $\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is equal to $\mathbf{F}$ :

Indeed: $\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A}^{\prime}=-\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right]\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{F}=-\left(\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^{T}-|\mathbf{b}|^{2} \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{F}=-\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{F}+|\mathbf{b}|^{2} \mathbf{F}=0+1 \cdot \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{F}$

- Thus, $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\prime}=-\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{F}$
[Eq. 13]
[Eqs. 14] $\quad \tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}I & 0\end{array}\right] \quad \tilde{M}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}-\left[\mathbf{b}_{x}\right] \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{b}\end{array}\right]$


## Interpretation of $\mathbf{b}$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathbf{x}=0 \quad \underset{[\mathrm{Eq} .11]}{=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A}}
$$

## What's b??

## Epipolar Constraint [lecture 5]


$F x_{2}$ is the epipolar line associated with $x_{2}\left(I_{1}=F x_{2}\right)$
$F^{\top} x_{1}$ is the epipolar line associated with $x_{1}\left(I_{2}=F^{\top} x_{1}\right)$
$F$ is singular (rank two)
$F e_{2}=0$ and $F^{\top} e_{1}=0$
$F$ is $3 x 3$ matrix; 7 DOF

## Interpretation of $\mathbf{b}$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathbf{x}=0 \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathbf{F}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{\times}\right] \mathbf{A} \\
{[\mathrm{Eq} .11]}
\end{gathered} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}=0 \\
\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## b is an epipole!

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right] & \tilde{M}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\left[\mathbf{b}_{x}\right] \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{b}
\end{array}\right] \\
\Downarrow & \\
\tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right] & \tilde{M}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\left[\begin{array}{c|c|}
\left.\hline \mathbf{e}_{x}\right]
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{e}
\end{array}\right] \\
{[\mathrm{Eq.} \text {. 16] }]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

HZ, page 254
PF, page 288

## Algebraic approach (2-view case)

1. Compute the fundamental matrix F from two views (eg. 8 point algorithm)
2. Use $F$ to estimate projective cameras
3. Use these cameras to triangulate and estimate points in 3D

## Triangulation



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1 j}=\tilde{M}_{2} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j} \\
& x_{2 j}=\tilde{M}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j} \text { For } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}
$$

3D points can be computed from camera matrices via SVD (see page 312 of HZ for details)

## Algebraic approach: the N -views case




- Pairwise solutions may be combined together using bundle adjustment


## Structure-from-Motion Algorithms

- Algebraic approach (by fundamental matrix)
- Factorization method (by SVD)
- Bundle adjustment


## Limitations of the approaches so far

- Factorization methods assume all points are visible. This not true if:
- occlusions occur
- failure in establishing correspondences
- Algebraic methods work with 2 views

The bundle adjustment approach addresses some of these limitations

## Structure-from-Motion Algorithms

- Algebraic approach (by fundamental matrix)
- Factorization method (by SVD)
- Bundle adjustment


## Bundle adjustment

- Non-linear method for refining structure and motion
- Minimizes re-projection error

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}, \mathbf{X})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}
$$



## General Calibration Problem

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}, \mathbf{X})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}
$$

$D$ is the nonlinear mapping

- Newton Method
- Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm
- Iterative, starts from initial solution
- May be slow if initial solution far from real solution
- Estimated solution may be function of the initial solution
- Newton requires the computation of J, H
- Levenberg-Marquardt doesn't require the computation of H


## Bundle adjustment

- Advantages
- Handle large number of views
- Handle missing data
- Limitations
- Large minimization problem (parameters grow with number of views)
- Requires good initial condition
- Used as the final step of SFM (i.e., after the factorization or algebraic approach)
- Factorization or algebraic approaches provide a initial solution for optimization problem


## Lecture 7 <br> Multi-view geometry



- The SFM problem
- Affine SFM
- Perspective SFM
- Self-calibration
- Applications


## Self-calibration

- Self-calibration is the problem of recovering the metric reconstruction from the perspective (or affine) reconstruction
- We can self-calibrate the camera by making some assumptions about the cameras



## Self-calibration

[HZ] Chapters 19 "Auto-calibration"

## Several approaches:

- Use single-view metrology constraints (lecture 4)
- Direct approach (Kruppa Eqs) for 2 views
- Algebraic approach
- Stratified approach


# Inject information about the camera during the bundle adjustment optimization 



For calibrated cameras, the similarity ambiguity is the only ambiguity [longuet.tiggins sil]

# Lecture 7 <br> Multi-view geometry 



- The SFM problem
- Affine SFM
- Perspective SFM
- Self-calibration
- Applications


## Structure from motion problem



Courtesy of Oxford Visual Geometry Group

Lucas \& Kanade, 81
Chen \& Medioni, 92
Debevec et al., 96
Levoy \& Hanrahan, 96
Fitzgibbon \& Zisserman, 98
Triggs et al., 99 Pollefeys et al., 99
Kutulakos \& Seitz, 99

Levoy et al., 00
Hartley \& Zisserman, 00
Dellaert et al., 00
Rusinkiewic et al., 02
Nistér, 04
Brown \& Lowe, 04
Schindler et al, 04
Lourakis \& Argyros, 04
Colombo et al. 05

Golparvar-Fard, et al. JAEI
10
Pandey et al. IFAC , 2010
Pandey et al. ICRA 2011
Microsoft's PhotoSynth
Snavely et al., 06-08
Schindler et al., 08
Agarwal et al., 09
Frahm et al., 10

## Reconstruction and texture mapping

M. Pollefeys et al 98-


## Incremental reconstruction of construction sites

Initial pair - 2168 \& Complete Set 62,323 points, 160 images
Golparvar-Fard. Pena-Mora, Savarese 2008


## Reconstructed scene + Site photos



## Reconstructed scene + Site photos



## Results and applications

Noah Snavely, Steven M. Seitz, Richard Szeliski, "Photo tourism: Exploring photo collections in 3D," ACM N Pho Transactions on Graphics (SIGGRAPH Proceedings),2006,


## Next lecture

- Active Stereo \& Volumetric Stereo

Appendix

## Direct approach

We use the following results:

1. A relationship that maps conics across views
2. Concept of absolute conic and its relationship to $K$
3. The Kruppa equations

## Projections of conics across views



Projection of absolute conics across views
From lecture 4, [HZ] page 210, sec. 8.5.1


## Kruppa equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{2}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{2} \\
-u_{1}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{2} \\
u_{1}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{1}
\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{1} \\
\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2} \\
\sigma_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2}
\end{array}\right)=0 \quad \text { [Eq. 6] }
$$

where $u_{i}, v_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}$ are the columns and singular values of SVD of $F$

These give us two independent constraints in the elements of $K$ and $K^{\prime}$

## Kruppa equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{2}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{2} \\
-u_{1}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{2} \\
u_{1}^{T} K^{\prime} K^{\prime T} u_{1}
\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{1} \\
\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2} \\
\sigma_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2}
\end{array}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{u_{2}^{T} K K^{T} u_{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{1}}=\frac{-u_{1}^{T} K K^{T} u_{2}}{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} v_{1}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2}}=\frac{u_{1}^{T} K K^{T} u_{1}}{\sigma_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{T} K K^{T} v_{2}} \tag{Eq.7}
\end{align*}
$$

- Let's make the following assumption: $K^{\prime}=K=\left(\begin{array}{lll}f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \quad$ [Eq. 8]
[Eq. 9] $\alpha f^{2}+\beta f+\gamma=0 \longrightarrow f$


## Kruppa equations

- Powerful if we want to self-calibrate 2 cameras with unknown focal length
- Limitations:
- Work on a camera pair
- Don't work if R=0

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { [Eq. 10] }\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} \omega^{-1}\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times}=F \omega^{-1} F^{T} \text { becomes trivial } \\
\text { Since: } F=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Self-calibration

[HZ] Chapters 19 "Auto-calibration"

## Several approaches:

- Use single-view metrology constraints (lecture 4)
- Direct approach (Kruppa Eqs) for 2 views
- Algebraic approach
- Stratified approach


## Algebraic approach Multi-view approach

Suppose we have a projective reconstruction $\left\{\tilde{M}_{i}, \tilde{X}_{j}\right\}$
Let H be a homography such that:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { First perspective camera is canonical: } \tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { Eq. 11 }\end{array}\right] \\ \text { ith perspective reconstruction of the camera (known): } \tilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\end{array}\right]\end{array}\right.$
[Eq. 12]
[Eq. 13] $\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right) K_{1} K_{1}^{T}\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right)^{T}=K_{i} K_{i}^{T} \quad$ i=2...m
[Eq. 14] $H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}K_{1} & 0 \\ -p^{T} K_{1} & 1\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned} & p \text { is an unknown } 3 \times 1 \text { vector } \\ & \mathrm{K}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { are unknown }\end{aligned}$

## Algebraic approach multivew approach

Suppose we have a projective reconstruction
Let H be a homography such that:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { First perspective camera is canonical: } \tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { Eq. } & 11\end{array}\right] \\ \text { ith }\end{array}\right.$
[Eq. 12]
[Eq. 13] $\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right) K_{1} K_{1}^{T}\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right)^{T}=K_{i} K_{i}^{T} \quad$ i=2...m How many unknowns?

- 3 from $p$
- 5 m from $\mathrm{K}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{m}}$

How many equations? 5 independent equations [per view]

## Algebraic approach multivew approach

Suppose we have a projective reconstruction
Let H be a homography such that:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { First perspective camera is canonical: } \tilde{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { Eq. 11 }\end{array}\right] \\ i^{\text {th }} \text { perspective reconstruction of the camera (known): } \tilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\end{array}\right]\end{array}\right.$
[Eq. 12]
Assume all camera matrices are identical: $\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2} \ldots=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}}$
[Eq. 15] $\quad\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right) K K^{T}\left(A_{i}-b_{i} p^{T}\right)^{T}=K \quad K^{T} \quad$ i=2...m
How many unknowns?

- 3 from $p$
- 5 from K

How many equations? 5 independent equations [per view]
We need at least 3 views to solve the self-calibration problem

## Algebraic approach

## Art of self-calibration:

Use assumptions on Ks to generate enough equations on the unknowns

| Condition | N. Views |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Constant internal parameters | 3 |
| - Aspect ratio and skew known <br> - Focal length and offset vary | 4 |
| - Skew $=0$, all other parameters vary | 8 |

Issue: the larger is the number of view, the harder is the correspondence problem

Bundle adjustment helps!

## SFM problem - summary

1. Estimate structure and motion up perspective transformation
2. Algebraic
3. factorization method
4. bundle adjustment
5. Convert from perspective to metric (self-calibration)
6. Bundle adjustment
** or **
7. Bundle adjustment with self-calibration constraints
